



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/698,624	10/27/2000	Barry Allan Fisher	8964.72USU1	4935
23552	7590	08/04/2009	EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC	PERUNGAVOOR, SATHYANARAYA V			
P.O. BOX 2903	ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903	2624			
	MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE	
	08/04/2009		PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/698,624	FISHER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SATH V. PERUNGAVOOR	2624	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SATH V. PERUNGAVOOR. (3) William Egan (Reg. No. 28,411).
 (2) Denise Bergin (Reg. No. 50,581). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 July 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: SFS 2000.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative argued that SFS 2000 is not handheld and therefore fails to meet the claim limitation. Examiner agreed to consider the SFS 2000 reference further to see if it is actually handheld or not.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sath V Perungavoor/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624	
---	--